

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address © MIMISSE NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 2 2231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 265,606	03 10 1999	RAINER ZIMMERMANN	LUD5330.3DIV	4727
24972	7590 01 24 2003			
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH A NEW YORK.	VE NY 10103-3198		MORAN, MARJORIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01 24 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/265,606	ZIMMERMANN ET AL.	
Navidary Nation	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of this application at the same of the sam	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, owever, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f)  Extensions of till e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed if the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The propo ed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they: ise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) ☐ they ∈ e not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOT	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly pro osed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ fidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidat at or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purpo s of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The statu of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) owed:			
Claim(s, jected to:			
Claim(s)jected: <u>20,21 and 23-26</u> .			
Claim(s, thdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The property drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the ached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other: § Continuation Sheet			
Ratest and Tradem		-	

Continuation of 5. dc is NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains that the specification does not describe the catalytic domain of FAP-alpha for reasons set forth in the previous office action. While a catalytic domain may comprise a consensus sequence and may comprise conserved residues, as argued by applicant, nowhere does the instant specification disclose that the consensus is quence or conserved residues ARE the catalytic domain, nor that these sequences are sufficient to define the catalytic domain of Figure Palpha. Further, neither the originally filed specification or claims disclosed or recited a catalytic domain specifically comprising one or more of the claimed SEQ ID NO's, as set forth in the final office action.

Continuation of 10. • ther: The issue with regard to delay of prosecution has been previously addressed. See the Office Action of 10/21/02..

Hayow a. it was